

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,484	08/08/2005	Jean-Claude Dulac	0528-1134 5539	
466 VOLNG & TI	7590 05/03/2007	EXAMINER		
YOUNG & THOMPSON 745 SOUTH 23RD STREET			PHAN, THAI Q	
2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AKLINGTON	, VA 22202		2128	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/518,484	DULAC ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thai Phan	2128				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realiure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim 17 rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 18 cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
·)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 December 2004</u> .					
,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r election requirement	•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 December 2004</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
·						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)		•				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
Notice of Dratisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/04.	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to patent application S/N: 10/518,484, filed on 12/20/2004. Claims 1-14 are pending in the Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

The claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claimed invention, especially, the claim embodiment is directed to a mathematical computation to find properties in terms of mathematical values of the seismic traces for the seismic trace construction. The claims do not produce an expected useful result and concrete outcome for data extraction representation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hildebrand, H., US patent no. 5,615,171 in view of Van Riel et al, US patent no. 6,665,615 B2.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,484

Art Unit: 2128

As per claim 1, Hildebrand discloses a process for extracting a geological horizon and related properties from a seismic representation, in which there is constructed a continuous function Sij,k(t) by interpolation or approximation of the discrete seismic functions of a multidimensional seismic matrix, said function being designated as a "continuous local seismic trace", comprising the following steps:

using adjacent continuous local seismic traces, the value of offset rendering the maximum their correlation function (col. 4, lines 40-59);

taking as conditional neighborhood a central continuous local seismic trace Sij,k(t), the sub-neighborhood consisting in adjacent traces Spq, k(t) corresponding to optimum offsets hij,pq,k associated with correlations Rij,pq, k(hij,pq, k) greater than a predetermined threshold comprised between 0 and I (col. 6, lines 55-65);

constructing a two-dimensional extraction matrix adapted to be filled with extracted points belonging to the same horizon as the one passing through the seed point (col. 7, lines 5-20) (col. 10, line 50 to col. 11, line 45),

selecting a seed point P(i,j,t) and determining the point P(i,j,k) of the threedimensional seismic matrix that is vertically closest,

estimating the properties associated with the conditional neighborhood and filling the two-dimensional extraction matrix with properties by translation of the current variable (t) of the optimum offset value (hij,pq, k) corresponding to the vertically nearest point (i,j,k). Hildebrand does not expressly disclose optimum (vertical) offset for the seismic traces as claimed. Such feature is well-known in the art. In fact, Van Riel teaches a

Application/Control Number: 10/518,484

Art Unit: 2128

method for processing seismic trace data using offset to correlate seismic trace data arrival (col. 16, lines 46-57).

This would motivate practitioner in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teaching in Van Riel into the Hildebrand in order to obtain optimal offset data by correlating relevant trace for property extraction as claimed.

As per claim 2, Hildebrand discloses in the process in which there is used as the seed point of step d) all the new points stored in the two-dimensional matrix of step b) and not yet used as grain points (col. 4, lines 20-24).

As per claim 3, Hildebrand discloses the process according to claim 1 in which the content of the two-dimensional matrix of step c) is successively filled in the course of successive extraction repetitions and interpolation (col. 11, line 52 to col. 12, line 37).

As per claims 4-6, Hildebrand discloses the content of the two-dimensional matrix of step c) is successively replaced by a mean of the successive contents in the course of extraction repetition, attributes volume, and visualization (col. 13, lines 18-35).

As per claim 7, Van Riel teaches a means and method for processing seismic trace data using optimal offset of two adjacent continuous local seismic trace for trace correlation, the value of offset rendering maximum their correlation function, means to take as conditional neighborhood of a reference central continuous local seismic trace Sij,k(t) the sub-neighborhood consisting in adjacent traces Spq, k(t) corresponding to optimum offsets hij,pq, k associated with correlations Rij,pq, k(hij,pq, k) greater than a predetermined threshold comprised between 0 and i, means to construct a two-

Art Unit: 2128

dimensional extraction matrix adapted to be filled with extracted values, means to fill the extraction matrix with properties offset by translation or shifting (col. 7, lines 34-59, col. 16, lines 46-57).

This would motivate practitioner in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teaching in Van Riel into the Hildebrand in order to obtain optimal offset data by correlating trace data with different extraction properties.

As per claim 8-10, Hildebrand discloses memory means for data, means for visualization, computer for executing the processing method (col. 13, lines 16-45).

As per claims 11-14, Hildebrand discloses the process according to claim 1 in which the content of the two-dimensional matrix of step c) is successively filled in the course of successive extraction repetitions and interpolation (col. 11, line 52 to col. 12, line 37). Hildebrand also discloses the content of the two-dimensional matrix of step c) is successively replaced by a mean of the successive contents in the course of extraction repetition, attributes volume, horizontal extraction, and visualization (col. 13, lines 18-35).

Conclusion

- 1. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure
- 1. US patent no. 3,731,269, issued to Judson et al, on May 1973
- 2. US patent no. 6,138,075, issued to Yost, Lawrence, on Oct. 2000
- 3. US patent application publication no. 2004/0204859, issued to Knoblock, Charles, on Oct. 2004.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,484 Page 6

Art Unit: 2128

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thai Phan whose telephone number is 571-272-3783. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamini Shah can be reached on 571-272-2279. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

3. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Apr. 27, 2007

THAI PHAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100